| UNCLASSIFIED | USE LY | | | CRE |
|--|--------------------|--|---|------------|
| ** | ROUTING AND | RECOR | D SHEET | |
| UBJECT: (Optional) | | | | |
| ROM: | | ······································ | NO. | |
| Chief, WE | | | | |
| 1010 J | | | DATE | |
| O: (Officer designation, room number, and uilding) | DATE | OFFICER'S INITIALS | COMMENTS (Number each comment to show fro | |
| Chief, SR | RECEIVED FORWARDED | | to whom. Draw a line across column after each o | :omme |
| 2052 J | T SEP 1954 | an | 1-1- 000 1.00 | • |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 371 | 710 | Stan - do we | • |
| elief, SR/3 | 8/34 | 15 | buy this? Do | 4 |
| 3. | 90, | 11 | you want me | |
| SR/3/CA | 1960 | 71 | vill gan lime | U. |
| · • | Y | | any rucestan | |
| llunt: | | ļ | response? | Z) |
|). | | | J. J. J. | <u> </u> |
|). | | - | | <i>à</i> 0 |
| | | | 2-3 - advised | - S |
| 7. | | | by phone we levy- | M |
| | | | by phone we levy - | al |
|). | | | - 7/4L | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · | | SA | |
| • | | | | |
|). | | | | |
| | | | | |
| • | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | - | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | · | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

SECRET

6 SEP 1960

| ì | WEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, SR Division |
|----|---|
| 4 | ATTENTION : _ , SR/3 |
| \$ | SUBJECT : Use of Canadian Anti-Communist Ethnic Groups |
| | 1. On 24 June 1960, |
| | 2. One of these "controlled agents" recently went to Toronto to discuss with a friend there whether the friend would be willing to cooperate in intelligence collection. The friend's reaction came in the form of a question, "What explanation could I give to the Canadian authorities should they query me about such activities?" This discussion was dropped for the time being. |
| | 3. I explained that the Canadian authorities were unusually sensitive about clandestine operations, especially the use of Canadians for intelligence collection. The Canadians, I feel sure, would take a very dim view of our operating through any ethnic group in Canada without prior knowledge and approval of the Canadian authorities. |
| | 4. After discussing the rather limited capabilities of the Joint Intelligence Bureau (JIB/Ottawa) and the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) for exploiting both the anti-communist and communist Canadian-Ukrainian groups, it was decided that a dispatch would be sent to, giving him sufficient information concerning our proposed use of ethnic groups in Canada for the collection of "realities" type intelligence could then decide whether it would be appropriate to discuss informally and confidentially our proposal with the Director, JIB and certain officers in the RCMP. |
| | 5. OCOW-3274, dated 1 July 1960, "Use of Ethnic Groups" was forwarded to Subsequently, while on a visit to Headquarters discussed the contents of this dispatch with After careful consideration, thought that the climate in Ottawa was such that he could not readily negotiate an arrangement which would be |

SECRET

approved by the Canadian authorities. Use of Canadian ethnic groups is carefully controlled by the RCMP in conjunction with the JIB and the Department of State for External Affairs. To get all three services to agree to our proposal would be unlikely at this time.

- 6. _______ pointed out that the RCMP was already using members of various ethnic groups as sub-sources on communist-front activities within the ethnic groups and was providing CIA with information from these sub-sources. _______ asked that special note also be taken of the fact that in Canada many of the travel bureaus which arrange travel to Sino/Soviet Bloc areas were communist-fronts. Much of the information on travel to the Ukraine could be gotten only from these travel bureaus.
- 7. COS, Ottawa proposed, as an alternative, that CIA should use the "controlled agent" in the U. S. group to solicit the cooperation of selected Canadian-Ukrainians. Such solicitation must be made in the name of the ethnic group. If the Canadian showed a willingness to cooperate, it should then be proposed to him that he visit the U. S. group. Once the Canadian is on U. S. soil, the "controlled agent" could then attempt to recruit the Canadian and develop the operation as outlined in Paragraph 3 or 4 of OCOW 3274. If the Canadian accepts and later his activities in behalf of the ethnic group should come to the attention of the RCMP or other Canadian authorities and should they query him as to whether he is working for U. S. intelligence, he should be in a position to reply that he is working in behalf of his ethnic group and as far as he knows he is not working for any U. S. intelligence group.
- 8.

 feels that if the operation is kept well within the confines of the proposal as outlined in Paragraphs 3 and 4 of OCOW 3274 and if the operation is conducted solely in the name of the ethnic group, the Canadian authorities would have no proof of CIA support and/or guidance.
- 9. Returning to the fact that the Canadian authorities are extremely sensitive about the use of Canadians for intelligence collection by U. S. intelligence services, I feel I cannot give blanket approval to using Canadian-Ukrainians (or any other member of a Canadian ethnic group) in CTA intelligence operations. Instead, it is suggested that when SR Division proposes to use a Canadian, a member of your division discuss each case with the WE/6/Canada desk officer. If approval is granted by WE/6/Canada, all requirements for passage to Canadians must be approved by WE/6/Canada before release by SR Division.

Chief
Western European Division

Distribution:

Original and 1 - Chief, SR 2 - SR/3 - C 1 - Chief, WE 1 - Chief, WE/6